## Effects of aging in mouse heart expressing constitutively active *α*1B-adrenergic receptors

H. Kiriazis, X. Feng, X.M. Gao, X.J. Du, Baker Heart Research Institute, Melbourne, Vic, Australia

Cardiac-directed overexpression of wild-type  $\alpha$ 1B-adrenergic receptor (AR) (26-43 fold) results in dilated cardiomyopathy and premature death at 9 months (mo) of age and suppression of  $\alpha$ 1-AR signalling. To investigate whether this heart failure phenotype is due to chronic activation of the  $\alpha$ 1B-ARs, transgenic mice<sup>1</sup> expressing constitutively active  $\alpha$ 1B-AR by 2-fold in the heart (TG) and their non-transgenic (NTG) littermates were non-invasively studied in anaesthetised mice (7.5 ketamine, 1.5 xylazine and 0.09mg atropine/100g) at 6, 9, 12 and 15 mo of age using M-mode and Doppler echocardiography. Fractional shortening (FS) was significantly increased in TG versus NTG mice (Table). Notably, the ratio of left ventricular (LV) early and atrial filling flow velocities (E/A) was reduced and the deceleration time (DT) of the E-wave was prolonged in TG mice. This LV diastolic dysfunction was evident at 6 mo of age and persisted at the advanced ages. LV mass, estimated via echocardiography and normalised for body mass (LVM/BM), was not significantly different between TG and NTG mice, a finding that was verified at autopsy. Catheterisation experiments performed in anaesthetised mice (10 ketamine, 2 xylazine and 0.12mg atropine/100g) at 15 mo revealed unchanged LV contractility at baseline and blunted responses to  $\alpha$ -agonist stimulation for heart rate and -dP/dt in TGs. In summary, unlike cardiac overexpression of wild-type  $\alpha$ 1B-ARs, expression of constitutively active  $\alpha$ 1B-AR does not impart detrimental effects leading to premature death.

Group	E/A ratio	DT (ms)	FS (%)	LVM/BM
				(mg/g)
NTG 6mo	$2.11 \pm 0.11$	$32 \pm 1$	$35 \pm 1$	$3.3 \pm 0.1$
15mo	$1.82 \pm 0.11$	$30 \pm 1$	$34 \pm 1$	$3.0 \pm 0.1$
TG 6mo	$1.31 \pm 0.06*$	$48 \pm 2^{*}$	$44 \pm 2^{*}$	$3.6 \pm 0.1$
15mo	$1.37 \pm 0.06*$	39 ± 1*	$38 \pm 1*$	$3.3 \pm 0.1$

\* p<0.05 versus age-matched NTG group

(1) Milano et al: PNAS 1994;91:10109-13