

Olivocochlear reflex strength and the auditory attentional filter

C. Bester,¹ D. Robertson,¹ M. Atlas³ and G. Hammond,² ¹*School of Anatomy, Physiology and Human Biology, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia,* ²*School of Psychology, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia and* ³*Ear Sciences Institute Australia, Subiaco, WA 6008, Australia.*

When a clearly audible tone (a cue) is presented in background noise, detection of a subsequent near-threshold probe tone of the same frequency as the cue is greater than detection of a probe tone of a different frequency (Greenberg & Larkin, 1968; Tan *et al.*, 2008). This effect has been reported to be absent in patients who have undergone a vestibular neurectomy, (Scharf *et al.*, 1997) implicating the efferent olivocochlear system in the generation of this so-called "attentional filter". Such a role is consistent with physiological data that show a release from masking caused by activation of the olivocochlear pathway (Mulders *et al.*, 2008). We investigated the involvement of the olivocochlear system in the attentional filter in 15 normal hearing human subjects. Strength of the crossed olivocochlear reflex was assessed using contralateral noise suppression of otoacoustic emissions and this was correlated with features of the attentional filter in the same subjects. There was a significant tendency for subjects with a stronger olivocochlear reflex to detect non-cue tones better than those with a weak olivocochlear reflex. Detection of cued tones did not correlate significantly with olivocochlear reflex strength. The results provide evidence for a frequency-specific anti-masking role for the olivocochlear system, but do not support a simple correlation between the strength of the attentional filter and the background strength of the olivocochlear reflex, under the task conditions employed in this study.

Greenberg GZ, Larkin W. (1968) Frequency-response characteristic of auditory observers detecting signals of a single frequency in noise. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, **44**, 1513-23.

Mulders M, Selvakumaran K, Robertson D. (2008) Effects of centrifugal pathways on responses of cochlear nucleus neurons to signals in noise. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, **27**, 702-14

Scharf B, Magnan J, Chays A. (1997) On the role of the olivocochlear bundle in hearing: 16 case studies. *Hearing Research* **103**, 101-22.

Tan M, Robertson D, Hammond G. (2008) Separate contributions of enhanced and suppressed sensitivity to the auditory attentional filter. *Hearing Research* **241**, 18-25.