

## Dynamic regulation of the cochlea amplifier in response to contralateral noise

K.E. Froud,<sup>1</sup> A.C.Y. Wong,<sup>1</sup> M. Klugmann,<sup>1</sup> J.P. Julien,<sup>2</sup> A.F. Ryan<sup>3,4,5</sup> and G.D. Housley,<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Translational Neuroscience Facility & Department of Physiology, School of Medical Sciences, University of New South Wales, NSW 2052, Australia, <sup>2</sup>Department of Anatomy and Physiology, Université Laval, Québec, Québec, G1V 4G2 Canada, <sup>3</sup>Departments of Surgery and, <sup>4</sup>Neurosciences, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA and <sup>5</sup>San Diego Veterans Administration Medical Center, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA.

Contralateral suppression (CS), the reduction in hearing sensitivity in one ear when sound is delivered to the opposite ear, is a well described within auditory neuroscience research. We know that the reduction in hearing sensitivity arises from inhibition of auditory transduction in the cochlear outer hair cells (OHCs) mediated by the medial olivocochlear (MOC) efferent pathway. Contralateral sound stimulation activates MOC neurons in the superior olivary complex in the brainstem. This MOC activation then causes hyperpolarization of OHCs in the other cochlea via unique  $\alpha 9 / \alpha 10$  nicotinic acetylcholine receptor synapses, leading to increased thresholds. Sensory input from either type I spiral ganglion neurons (SGN) which make up 95% of the cochlear sensory fibres and synapse with inner hair cells, or type II SGN (the remaining 5%), innervating the OHCs must be involved in mediating this MOC activation. There is, however, still the major unanswered question of how sensory input drives CS.

Our experiments have studied the frequency dependence of CS in WT mice as well as utilizing a peripherin (Pph<sup>-/-</sup>) knockout mouse model to investigate the potential contribution of type II SGN to CS. Pph is a type III intermediate filament expressed by type II SGN but not type I SGN, and development of these neurons has been shown to be affected by loss of Pph expression (Barclay *et al.*, 2011). We therefore tested whether type II SGN innervation of OHC was affected in Pph<sup>-/-</sup> mice, and if so, whether this was associated with altered CS. Using CtBP2 / Ribeye immunofluorescence to identify the ribbon synapses, OHC innervation (number and position) was compared between Pph<sup>-/-</sup> and WT control mice (C129/B16).

The position of CtBP2-immunolabeled afferent synapses relative to the centre of the OHC nucleus was analysed using confocal microscopy of mid-modiolar cochlea cryosections. Sections were from adult (4 months old) Pph<sup>-/-</sup> and WT mice and these were batch processed for immunolabeling and microscopy. This analysis revealed displacement of synapses towards the apex of the cell on the Pph<sup>-/-</sup> OHCs. Quadratic (f2-f1) Distortion Product Otoacoustic Emissions (DPOAEs) have been shown to be sensitive to CS induced attenuation (Abel *et al.*, 2009). We therefore observed changes in the ipsilateral quadratic DPOAEs of Pph<sup>-/-</sup> and WT mice, in response to sound delivered to the contralateral ear. These experiments demonstrated that while the quadratic DPOAE were of equivalent amplitude in Pph<sup>-/-</sup> and WT mice, they were significantly less attenuated by CS in Pph<sup>-/-</sup> animals. These results support the hypothesis that the sensory signal driving the MOC pathway to the OHCs of the opposite cochlea has a significant contribution from the type II SGN.

Barclay M, Ryan AF & Housley GD. (2011) *Neural Development* **6**, 33. doi: 10.1186/1749-8104-6-33

Abel C, Wittekindt A & Kössl M. (2009) *Journal of Neurophysiology* **101**, 2362-71.

---

The experiments were conducted with University of New South Wales Animal Care and Ethics Committee approval. Supported by NHMRC grant APP1052463.